IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, GREENE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, )

vs. ) No. 145 C.R. 2022

IAN JARED NAKONECHNI, )

Defendant. )

HEARING DATE: October 25, 2023

BEFORE THE HONORABLE: Louis Dayich, President Judge

TRANSCRIPT FILED BY: Jennifer R. Withrow, Court Recorder

COUNSEL OF RECORD:

On behalf of the Commonwealth/Attorney General's Office:
Alicia Werner, Esquire

On behalf of the Defendant: James Jeffries, Esquire

Filed in the Office of the Clerk of Courts.

This  $\frac{13}{13}$  day of  $\frac{1}{3}$  day, 2024

Clerk of Courts

Filed Greene County Clerk of Couris
2024 JUN 13 PMZ: 56

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

GREENE COUNTY

WAYNESBURG, PENNA.

## I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Veronique Valliere	26	37		
James Teagarden	48	70		
Felicia Baucum	107	117	120	122
Dr. Michael Crabtree	e 144	161		
Timothy Ross, Esq.	171	176		
Brenda McClellan	179	213		

EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMITTED
Commonwealth's Exhibit 25	15	
Commonwealth's Exhibit 26	16	
Commonwealth's Exhibit 27	115	116
Defendant's Exhibit B	147	

Certificate Pg. - 254

eleven

told

Moose,

Court

Bob

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G 1 THE COURT: We're not bringing the jury in right 2 now. We have one juror who is running a bit late, and I 3 think it's the same juror that ran late before. So, we're not bringing Mr. Nakonechni up because we're waiting for 5 that last juror to come in the courtroom. But juror number 6 -- Sheila, tell me who it is? 7 DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: Number 8 9 Tonya Wood. COURT: Okay. Juror number eleven. Okay. 10 11 You're trying to figure out who it is? THE COMMONWEALTH: (Indiscernible) 12 DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: (Indiscernible) at 13 the left corner of the picture. 14 THE COURT: That's right. 15 THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay. Got it. 16 THE COURT: Juror number eleven -- so she'd be --17 because the two alternates -- like if you go this way, I'd 18 say fourteen, thirteen, twelve, eleven in the back row. 19 eleven said 20 Juror number the Administration that she knows, from 21 Teagarden, who is the uncle. And if anybody knows, I'm 22 starting to put it together. Bob Teagarden's a retired 23 state police officer. So, she said she knows Uncle Bobby 24

25

well and she probably knows Jimmy, but not well. What else

1	did she tell you?
2	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: She lives near
3	Jamie's dad and grandmother.
4	THE COURT: Okay. That means everybody's here?
5	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: All the jurors are
6	in the jury room.
7	THE COURT: So,
8	THE COMMONWEALTH: My suggestion was she asked
9	if those that those relationships or knowing those
10	people, does it affect her ability to be fair and
11	impartial?
12	THE COURT: Yeah, I think we should ask her to
13	come in or excuse her. And you said you were saying,
14	no, she doesn't think
15	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: I didn't ask her
16	any more questions than that.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: That's all she
19	told me, and I didn't ask her any more questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: Do you want her
22	seated in the witness chair or in the first chair of the
23	jury box?
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: Let's not make her that
25	uncomfortable.

THE COURT: Yeah, let's put her somewhere --1 2 yeah. DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: In the jury box? 3 THE COURT: Yeah. DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: Jennifer, you want 5 to put the wireless mic over there to pick up her --6 THE COURT: So, what I'm going to do -- we'll ask 7 her that and then you'll have your next witness lined up. 8 I'll still take -- I'll still get everybody lined up, 9 we'll make a decision, and we'll get Mr. Nakonechni up 10 11 here. THE COMMONWEALTH: Just so we're clear, I'm going 12 to -- because -- because we can't connect to the internet 13 and get the jail calls that I was looking for yesterday, I 14 just plan on calling Corporal Barnhart just to play two 15 additional jail calls. They're very short. Less than five 16 minutes. And then I'll --17 18 THE COURT: Why Barnhart? THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, because I figure you 19 want some -- well, because one of the calls is not with 20 Jamie. It's with Kayla Rush and the defendant. And then 21 the second one is with Jamie, but I figured we wanted like 22 a body up on the chair other than me just playing it 23 without, like, somebody at the witness chair. 24

25

THE COURT: We don't necessarily need him, do we?

1	THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, we don't need to. I
2	just whatever the Court felt most comfortable with
3	whether I
4	THE COURT: Well, I don't now okay. Oh, hi.
5	Come on in. How you doing?
6	LAW CLERK: We can't use the wireless. So, if we
7	could just have her stand right there, probably it's
8	better.
9	THE COURT: That's okay. We can hear her.
10	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: If if she'll
11	speak loudly.
12	THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, yeah. Same.
13	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: If we turn up the
14	
15	THE COURT: Yep.
16	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: speaker phone
17	possibly
18	THE COURT: Yep.
19	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: a little bit.
20	THE COURT: So, Ms. Wood, I know you, but I
21	haven't seen you for a while.
22	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Good seeing you. So, keep your voice
24	down a little bit. You did exactly what you're supposed to
25	do. Actually, the truth is, through the trial, I was like,

1	oh, okay. Now, I know who Uncle Bobby is, and that's what
2	happened to you, isn't it?
3	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Right. Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So, for the folks that aren't
5	here all the time, you know tell me who you know?
6	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Bob Teagarden.
7	THE COURT: And how do you know him?
8	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Through the Moose.
9	THE COURT: Yeah. And you you're you're not
10	an officer at the Moose, right?
11	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: No.
12	THE COURT: But you you're you frequent
13	that place?
14	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Oh, yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And so, he's not going to
16	testify at all. And then do you what do you know about
17	Jimmy? What do you know about Jamie?
18	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: I don't know anything about
19	Jamie.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Jimmy and his mom are we
22	. live on Race Street; they live on the corner of Bridge and
23	Race. I don't I mean
24	THE COURT: You mean the you mean
25	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: We know where they live.
	II

ŀ	
1	THE COURT: (Indiscernible sound)
2	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: So, Porter Street go up
3	Porter Street
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
5	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: where it crosses Race
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: that little yellow
8	that little yellow house
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: That's where they live.
11	THE COURT: Who lives there? Jim?
12	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Jimmy and his mom.
13	THE COURT: Jim and his mom. So, her grandmother?
14	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yeah, he lives with her
15	grandmother.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So, it sounds like we're
17	reminiscing by going down small-town lane,
18	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Right.
19	THE COURT: but it's true. We probably know
20	everybody, right? And so, the question is, now that you
21	know more information now that you're making the family
22	connection, does it affect your ability to hear the case?
23	Are you sure? So
24	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: That should be
25	spoken.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Her answer is what?
2	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yeah. No, it does not. Yes,
3	I'm sure.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So, here's the circumstance. If
5	you and so, we're going to sort of start this way, and
6	I'm going to give the attorneys a chance to ask questions.
7	In other words, the real question is, at the end of this -
8	- and if you walk into the Moose and you see Bob, are you
9	going to feel like you owe him an explanation one way or
10	another?
11	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: No.
12	THE COURT: And you don't feel like you're
13	weighted one way or the other or formed any opinion?
14	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do either of you want to ask
16	any questions?
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: I'm okay, Judge.
18	MR. JEFFRIES: The only thing, ma'am, is Bob
19	Teagarden, I assume you know him from Moose?
20	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yeah.
21	MR. JEFFRIES: And that's that club down the road
22	here?
23	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yes.
24	MR. JEFFRIES: Has he approached you, or has he
25	mentioned anything about this trial?
	II

1	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: No, I haven't seen him
2	recently.
3	MR. JEFFRIES: I'm fine. That's fine.
4	THE COURT: You are?
5	MR. JEFFRIES: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Good enough. We'll reseat her.
7	Everybody's here? Okay, good. We're going to have you go
8	around. I guess don't tell anybody why we asked you
9	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Okay.
10	THE COURT: but, you know, it's everybody
11	knows everything's sort of done for reasons.
12	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Okay.
13	THE COURT: So, you're back, and we appreciate
14	you being here.
15	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Okay. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Thank you very oh, and I guess at
17	the same time, if you were deliberating or anything, don't
18	say that you know Bob. You can't use anything to say,
19	okay, I would if Bob did I don't even know what he
20	did. Took a picture?
21	JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: Or something? I mean that's
23	that's, I think, if all is involved and it may or may have
24	been so, you won't you you would promise then
25	under your oath to not use any of that information that
	II

you didn't get from trial, correct?
JUROR 11 TONYA WOOD: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay, good. Alright, thank you,
ma'am. Alright. So, we're going to we're going to keep
her and we know what we did.
So, we're going to have Mr. Nakonechni come up,
and then tell me again why do you think you need I
don't think you need what would Tanner Barnhart do
THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, if if if
Attorney Jeffries doesn't have an objection, sometimes you
just
THE COURT: Okay.
THE COMMONWEALTH: it's uncomfortable to put
in evidence in or place something without a witness
THE COURT: No, we can just but it can't
THE COMMONWEALTH: but if if he's fine with
not having anybody in the chair and me just playing the
additional jail calls
THE COURT: Is he the arresting officer?
THE COMMONWEALTH: and then going yeah,
he's just the officer.
THE COURT: Oh, the arrest oh, okay.
THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct. He's the arresting
officer.
THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think you know, I

1	mean, by your stipulation, I think everybody knows that
2	there was a
3	THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, it's already admitted
4	into evidence
5	THE COURT: Yeah.
6	THE COMMONWEALTH: so I can legally play it at
7	any time. I just I've just want somebody in the
8	chair
9	THE COURT: No, no. I know. But you want to mark
10	two additional exhibits?
11	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's right.
12	THE COURT: This is the one you were trying to
13	find when we took the break,
14	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
15	THE COURT: right?
16	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.
17	THE COURT: I think it's okay to just play it,
18	right?
19	MR. JEFFRIES: I don't have any problem.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And then there's another piece,
21	or no? Just one?
22	THE COMMONWEALTH: There's two calls.
23	THE COURT: And what what are they? So
24	Mr. Jeffries would know?
25	THE COMMONWEALTH: Sure. There's one dated March

1	6 <sup>th</sup> of 2022 and that's a phone call with Kayla Rush where
2	the defendant is trying to locate Jamie, and he admits to
3	headbutting Jamie. And then there's a the second call,
4	which we were trying to find yesterday, that is the
5	defendant and Jamie, audio only, talking about calling his
6	attorney.
7	THE COURT: About what?
8	THE COMMONWEALTH: Her to talk to his attorney.
9	To go visit.
10	THE COURT: Oh. So, then we had stopped yesterday
11	at Exhibit 24. So, your next exhibit would be 25, and
12	you'd mark you would mark one
13	THE COMMONWEALTH: That is correct, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	THE COMMONWEALTH: The next exhibit would be
16	twenty-five and then twenty-six.
17	THE COURT: Right. Okay. So, we'll mark one of
18	those as twenty-five, one of those as twenty-six. Mr.
19	Jeffries, from our pre-trial conference, and from the
20	issue of relevance, you don't need any additional
21	foundation? You you know and you've received those in
22	discovery?
23	MR. JEFFRIES: That's correct.
24	THE COURT: Okay, good. So, we'll be in
25	adjournment until I'm sorry, yeah we'll be in recess
	H

1	for just a moment. Let's make sure that Mr. Nakonechni
2	comes up. I think from what Bob gave us the head nod
3	I think everybody is here, and we'll start as soon as we
4	can, okay? Alright. Alright. Good. Thank you. As soon as
5	we can. The next time I come in, we'll bring the jurors
6	in.
7	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: What did you say?
9	THE COMMONWEALTH: I said, thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: What did you say?
11	DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR: He's coming up
12	now.
13	THE COURT: Oh, he's coming up? Okay. Oh, you're
14	welcome.
15	(The Court takes a recess at 9:07:55 and
16	reconvenes at 9:15:01)
17	THE COURT: Okay. We'll just come to order,
18	everybody. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. This is
19	Wednesday, October 25 <sup>th</sup> , is that right? Okay. Second day
20	of the trial. We're going to pick up where we left off. We
21	stored your notebooks and are now returning those to you.
22	Alright. Last jurors in. Please be seated. I'll remind you
23	all, again, to avoid outside influence, and, again, that
24	the defendant is presumed to be innocent and has no
25	obligation to testify or offer any evidence. The

1	Commonwealth has an unending burden of proof beyond a
2	reasonable doubt, and you know that they intend to call
3	another witness today or witnesses today. So, call your
4	next witness, please?
5	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor. The
6	Commonwealth would ask permission to publish two
7	additional jail calls.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE COMMONWEALTH: This call I will mark as
10	Commonwealth's Exhibit 25.
11	(THEREUPON, Commonwealth's Exhibit 25 was marked
12	for identification.)
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE COMMONWEALTH: It is a call dated on March
15	$6^{ t th}$ of 2022. It is a video call between the defendant and
16	an individual by the name of Kayla Rush.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And then, ladies and gentlemen,
18	you're not seeing a witness here because the Commonwealth
19	and defense agree not what it means but agree that
20	this call was recorded and that will be played. And we're
21	going to mark March 6, 2022, as what we refer to as a
22	video call as Commonwealth Exhibit 26.
23	THE COMMONWEALTH: Number twenty-five, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: Sorry. Correct. Twenty-five. Okay. Go

1	ahead, ma'am. And you want to turn the lights off?
2	(Commonwealth Exhibit 25 begins at 9:16:46 and
3	concludes at 9:17:33)
4	THE COURT: Okay. And then the Commonwealth by
5	what we had discussed earlier, intends to mark another
6	exhibit and we're going to mark that as you will have
7	that marked as Commonwealth's number twenty-six. Ms.
8	Werner, what's that for?
9	(THEREUPON, Commonwealth Exhibit 26 was marked
10	for identification.)
11	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes, Your Honor, this is an
12	audio call between the defendant and Jamie Teagarden, and
13	it is dated June 22, 2022, at 8:30 A.M.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And go ahead and play that.
15	(Commonwealth Exhibit 26 begins at 9:18:07 and
16	concludes at 9:19:36)
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And those have been marked would
20	you mind turning the lights on back there somebody? Thank
21	you, sir. And you're calling another witness?
22	THE COMMONWEALTH: I am, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: The Commonwealth would call
25	Dr. Veronique Valliere.

25

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, before I swear in this witness, we're going to -- I'm going to give you a bit of an instruction.

Where are you?

Where'd she go?

THE COMMONWEALTH: She's not --

THE COURT: Oh, oh, okay. Yeah.

I'm going to give you a bit of instruction.
You've heard --

Ma'am, we're going to get you sworn in in just a minute.

You've heard, Ι think, potentially, attorneys talk about expert witnesses. So, there's a special instruction about an expert witness. And sort of the first thing that I would want to say to you is when somebody is considered to be an expert, it doesn't mean that they're right and it doesn't mean anything special. It's just a term of art and it -- what it means is, though, that an expert is qualified based on a certain area of expertise. And when an expert testifies, they're allowed to testify in a way that is not permitted with lay testimony. In other words, people who are fact witnesses or eye witnesses or something like that. I'm going to read to you something very specific.

In every case that an expert is testifying --

and particularly this next expert that the Commonwealth is expecting to call -- we want to stress to you that you, as the finder of facts, are the sole judge of credibility. That means you decide the accuracy of a person's testimony. You decide the truthfulness of a person's testimony.

Now, this particular person is referred to as a doctor. She will be qualified as an expert because the parties have agreed to that. There will be some — probably some background that we'll receive about that. But when it comes down to it, an expert is to aid you in your determination of credibility, but the expert is never judging credibility and never saying whether someone is truthful or not. That comes to you. That is your job as a sole judge of the facts, and not until you've heard all the evidence, final arguments by the attorneys, and also the closing instructions from the Court.

I would remind you also that any instructions that we give you -- if I give them to you in the middle or the beginning or the end -- they're all the same. So, I certainly am not going to forget that I gave you this instruction about expertise. We also believe later on -- and, again, the Commonwealth -- defense has no obligation, but we believe we may hear from another quote, unquote expert witness. And so, when I say I won't forget this, I

3

**4** 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

may touch on it again, but this is our -- this will serve as our instruction generally as how you regard and use expert testimony.

You'll recall -- well, this -- particular person is about to testify as an expert. An expert witness is a person who has special knowledge or skill in some science, art, profession, occupation, or subject that the witness acquired by training, education, experience. or has Because an expert has special -- that means out of the ordinary knowledge or skill -- that person may be able to supply jurors with specialized information, explanation, and opinions that will help you decide a case. Expert may also be asked to answer hypothetical witnesses questions. An expert may be told by counsel or the Court to assume certain facts are true -- called stipulations -and they may be asked to express an opinion based on that assumption.

Remember, though, that you jurors are the sole judges of the credibility and weight of all testimony. The fact that the lawyers and I have referred to a certain witness as an expert and that the witness may have special knowledge or skill does not mean that their opinion and testimony is correct. And when you determine credibility and truthfulness and accuracy, all of that, you're going to judge an expert witness in the same way you judge all

1	other witnesses in the same way that you would judge
2	all witnesses, and you'll use that as you may. Alright?
3	So, with that said, ma'am, come on up, please?
4	You're being called as a witness. How are you?
5	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: Good, thank you.
6	THE COURT: Good. Nice to see you. As you
7	approach, I'm going to get you sworn in at some point. So,
8	let me get you to raise your right hand.
9	(The witness is sworn.)
10	THE COURT: Okay. Come on up here. You're going
11	to have a seat here. The Commonwealth is calling you.
12	Obviously, they'll ask you questions. Mr. Jeffries may
13	have questions. Please keep your voice up. If you don't
14	understand the question, let us know. Certainly, if
15	there's an objection, also, let the Court sort it out
16	before you answer, okay?
17	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: (Indiscernible).
18	THE COURT: Alright. Thank you. Ma'am?
19	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE
21	Having been duly sworn, as hereafter certified was
22	examined and said as follows:
23	VOIR DIRE
24	BY: MS. WERNER
25	Q Ma'am, can you please introduce yourself to the

1	members of the jury?
2	A Sure. My name is Dr. Veronique Valliere. Would
3	you like me to spell it?
4	Q Please.
5	THE COURT: Yeah.
6	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: V-E-R-O-N-I-Q-U-E V-A-
7	L-L-I-E-R-E.
8	THE COURT: One more time for the whole thing. V-
9	E-R
10	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: O-N
11	THE COURT: Yeah.
12	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: I-Q-U-E V-A-L-L-I-E-
13	R-E.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Go ahead.
15	BY: MS. WERNER
16	A And I'm a clinical and forensic psychologist.
17	Q Thank you. How long have you been a clinical and
18	forensic psychologist?
19	A I've been licensed in Pennsylvania as a
20	psychologist since 1995. I got my doctorate in clinical
21	psychology in January 1993.
22	Q And can you tell us what it means to be a
23	clinical and forensic psychologist?
24	A Sure. A clinical psychologist is a psychologist
25	trained in diagnosis, treatment, and evaluation of

individuals for mental health issues. And a forensic psychologist has extra training in -- I guess educating the Court on psychological issues.

So it's where I'm trying to marry -- help the Court marry psychological issues with legal issues. For instance, I'm on Pennsylvania's Megan's Law board for the sexually violent predators. And sexually violent predator is -- it's not a clinical term. It's not a diagnosis. It's a legal term. So, I have to help the Court figure out, like, what diagnoses help people match a sexually violent predator.

Q As a clinical psychologist and forensic psychologist, what other job or employment do you hold other than what you've just mentioned?

A I have two outpatient clinics in Allentown, Pennsylvania -- way out east. In one clinic, we serve violent offenders, which would include sex offenders, domestic violence offenders, people who commit child abuse and other types of violence. And then another clinic where we work with victims and other people with mental health issues. And I've been doing that since 2003, full-time. So, I provide services, I have clinicians that I supervise and train, and perform assessments and evaluations.

Prior to that, from '93 to 2003, I was director of an outpatient clinic where we treated substance abuse,

1	domestic violence, victims of assault, and sexual
2	offenders.
3	Q In the course of all of that experience since
4	1993, approximately how many victims have you come across
5	in the field offering them services, treatments, therapy,
6	any other field that you've come across victims of sexual
7	or domestic violence?
8	A It's been hundreds and hundreds. I have no idea
9	how many.
10	Q And do they arrange (sic) from children and
11	adults?
12	A Yes. We treat children as young as three all the
13	way up to people well into their advanced years.
14	Q And what would you consider your specialty to
15	be?
16	A My specialty's in interpersonal violence. All
17	the types of violence we talked about, sexual assault,
18	domestic violence, child abuse.
19	Q Do you belong to any strike that. Have you
20	been published in the area of domestic violence or abuse?
21	A Yes. I have three books published. One on
22	victims of violence, one on sexual offenders, one on
23	prosecuting intimate violence. I have a book chapter on
24	alcohol and sexual assault. Book chapter on victim
25	behavior. An article about non-stranger rapists. An

1	article about incels.
2	Q What are incels?
3	A They're a subgroup of individuals who basically
4	are characterized by, kind of, violence, women hating,
5	feeling persecuted by the world.
6	Q And I just want to be clear, the clinics that
7	you hold, do you have employees underneath you?
8	A I do.
9	Q Okay. But do you personally work with these
10	victims of abuse on a regular basis?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And you've been doing that since 1993?
13	A And even prior, yes.
14	Q Prior to that as well. Have you testified in
15	court before today?
16	A I have.
17	Q In what types of cases?
18	A Well, I testify doing this. Providing education
19	to juries in many counties in Pennsylvania. I worked with
20	the U.S. military all branches of the military
21	testifying in court martials or consulting or I've
22	testified hundreds of times for sexually violent predator
23	hearings for the court.
24	Q Are you do you offer your services for just
25	the prosecution, or do you offer your services for all

members of the -- the legal board?

A I would offer my services anywhere they're relevant. Usually in cases like this, only the prosecution uses it. The defense has no need of that. And when I testify for the sexually violent predators, it's always for the prosecution as well because if I don't find somebody a predator, we don't have a hearing. So, it's always when I have that kind of finding.

- Q Did you tell us how many times you've testified in court before?
  - A No. It's been hundreds.
- Q And how many times have you been qualified in the specific area and specialty of clinical and forensic psychology in the area of domestic violence?
  - A Dozens and dozens of times.

THE COMMONWEALTH: Your Honor, at this time, I would offer this witness for *voir dire* based upon her current qualifications.

THE COURT: Okay. So, this is a little different. You'll see what happens is the person offering the expertise establishes those credentials and it gives -- we give the other side a chance to ask questions. The parties have already agreed that she will testify, but we now give that opportunity to Mr. Jeffries. He may or may not ask some questions. Then it will go back to the Commonwealth.

So, Mr. Jeffries, any questions? 1 MR. JEFFRIES: No, Judge. I have no questions. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And ma'am? 3 THE COMMONWEALTH: At this time I would motion the Court to accept and qualify Dr. Valliere as an expert 5 field of clinical and forensic psychology, 6 in the specifically in the area of sexual abuse, domestic 7 violence, and victim dynamics. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And I think that's the basis of 9 her expertise, so we will accept that request -- grant 10 that request and permit her to testify as an expert. 11 THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY: MS. WERNER 14 Dr. Valliere, can you explain what a blind 15 16 expert means? Sure. As a blind expert, I don't really know Α 17 anything about the case at hand. My job is just to educate 18 or provide, you know, answer any questions that the 19 attorneys may have about things like domestic violence or 20 behavior of victims or perpetrators, and just to provide 21 general education so that we don't rely on some of the 22 myths or misinformation about victims or perpetrators or 23 domestic violence or sexual assault when you have your 24 tough job of having to figure out these kinds of cases. 25

So, I don't know -- I have never interviewed anybody, I haven't reviewed anything. I'm just here to talk generally about the issues the attorneys may have.

Q And why do you say it's important in your role to be blind and not know the facts of any particular case?

A Because my job is to educate, not present a certain side or, you know, try to get you to believe one side or the other, just to give you information to help guide your decision-making.

Q So, Dr. Valliere, we've thrown a couple of terms out there, but in your experience and in your field, could you educate the jury on how you would describe domestic violence?

A On how I would describe domestic violence? I guess domestic violence is basically intimate or intimate partner violence that goes on over time. It's not a single act, but it's a pattern of behavior that controls, oppresses, demeans an individual over time. Wears down their sense of self so that the perpetrator can have more control or influence over the victim.

And it's not just physical violence. Most domestic violence occurs psychologically and emotionally with physical violence that may be in there. They're also components of not only the psychological and emotional abuse, but financial control, social control. For instance

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the victim may be isolated socially or from a family, or the perpetrator may degrade the victim to other people. Like go to work and say, for instance, oh, my wife's really crazy. She's off her meds. Don't believe anything she says. Or things like that.

So, domestic violence isn't just, you know, getting punched in the face or getting strangled, it's a - it's a pattern that encompasses the person's whole life physically, emotionally, socially, and psychologically.

Q And so, with the dynamics of domestic violence and intimate partner, is it common for a victim to love their perpetrator?

Certainly. Domestic violence won't go on for as and successfully as it does if there wasn't a just love and attachment for foundation of not perpetrator -- between the perpetrators and victims, but plans for the future, hopes and dreams, promises, things like that. Typically, this -- these relationships don't start off as terrible. It happens slowly over the course of time when interdependence has been built, sometimes children, practical things like owning a house together, building a life together. So, the attachment is -- can be very strong. And attached through fear is also something that gets stronger and stronger over time. When you're afraid of something, you pay more attention to it, you get

more preoccupied with it, you revolve your life around it. So, something that seems like it should break that attachment, it really strengthens it. It's not healthy when it does. Like, you'll see -- a good example is, like, in dog fighting. The dog's in pain, but the loyalty to the owner is so great that you can't split them up, and the dog will do anything for somebody that hurts them. And that's the kind of thing that fear and terror continue upon. It's not healthy at all, but it -- it really can crystalize an attachment and make it very firm. Like kids who are abused by their parents getting very attached to those parents. So, it's a very complicated thing that mixes up love and dependence and fear.

Q And touching on that love, dependence, and fear, what if you added an extra level of drug addiction in that mix? How does a possible drug addition by a victim impact that love? That dependency on the perpetrator?

- A If the victim's addicted to drugs?
- Q Yes.

A Well, when a victim in a violent situation uses substances, what happens is generally their sense of shame grows, their sense of self-loathe grows, and because their actions may be dictated by the dependency, they may be convinced by the perpetrator they're more to blame.

Additionally, when somebody has a drug

addiction, their vulnerability grows because their credibility is diminished. People don't believe people who are using. And so, the perpetrator can weaponize that and use that to further isolate the victim. If the victim has addiction and the perpetrator supplies the money or the resources to keep that person stable on their addiction, that even makes them much more vulnerable.

So, there's social judgment, there's shame, and then there's practical issues like finances and who's giving the drugs? Who's doing up the drugs? Who's withholding the drugs? It gives somebody much more power over somebody in an addiction who may be not able to function very well. And if the person who is in addiction is also being abused, that, of course, exacerbates the addiction because when you're depressed, when you're traumatized, you're hurt, you may rely on substances more to cope with that. So, it's a very intense and vicious cycle.

Q Can you touch on or help us understand a victim dynamic such as if a victim is in a relationship with her perpetrator and their perpetrator assaults them -- whether it be physically or sexually -- and then maybe like hours later they have consensual sex after they've been physically beaten or choose not to run away or go tell someone right away what has happened to them? Can you

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

explain how the dynamics of domestic violence affect that?

Sure. So, that's a complicated question with Α important know, it's multiple layers. First, you remember that the most powerful influence over how our victim acts is how the perpetrator acts. So, maybe after a terrible fight -- and we all know this if we've been with our partners -- you know, somebody may apologize and say you didn't deserve to be treated like that. I'm super sorry. And we're prone to forgive somebody we love. So, that dynamic may be going on. But in a chronically violent relationship, it's important to recognize that yes is not -- if -- if no -- saying no to your partner is not really an option because you're afraid to be beaten or hurt more, then consent is really not an issue either, right? Yes doesn't mean anything if you can't say no. So, consenting may -- what looks like consensual interaction may be selfsoothing behavior, it may be relief, it may be placating the perpetrator who just hurt you earlier. Why would you want to agitate somebody who hurt you, again? And then once the normalcy is restored, the person -- the victim and the perpetrator may feel a sense of relief or just -now the crisis is over, now we're back to normal. And I think most couples want to go back to normal. I know I do when I fight with my husband, so there's a big relief whenever everything's fun again. So, it's a complex thing

3

5

6

8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

and if we're just getting back to normal as well as not willing to agitate the situation, not willing to be provocative, not wanting to stir things up again, and maybe the victim being afraid to say no. So, it can be very complicated.

Q When I say the word counterintuitive behavior, what does that mean?

Counterintuitive behavior is a term we use to kind of describe people's behavior that seems to against commonsense. And so, we expect people to act a certain way if certain things happen. But, like, for instance, if somebody hits you, you're supposed to hate them or want to go to the police. People don't, and we think that's counterintuitive. Or a child who may be afraid of a parent but clings on to that parent. We think counterintuitive. Law enforcement is that's counterintuitive. Firefighters run into a fire, right? They're trained to run into a fire. That goes against common sense. So, we have these expectations about how quote, unquote real victims should act if they were really sexually assaulted, like, fighting, screaming, running away. And example that she -- just of having consensual that seems assaulted, been sex after you've counterintuitive, but we all act in ways that, you know, are individual to ourselves and our circumstance and what

influences us around us. And we could all say, well, if that happened to me, I would do X. But then we find ourself in that situation and we don't, right? So, people have lots of things that they bring with them, and that the environment provides and influences how they act that don't meet stereotypes of how we think people should act based on all kinds of factors including, like I said, the law enforcement training, how you've been trained might influence how you act.

Q And speaking of law enforcement and reporting a sexual or a physical assault through domestic violence to law enforcement, through your experience with many victims, is it more common or less common that victims will immediately disclose to law enforcement an assault by their perpetrator?

A Mostly, domestic violence goes on for a time before it's reported and that's for a lot of reasons. The person may not understand that -- what has happened is an actual crime. They just think it's a fight or a family problem. They may not think that it's bad enough for their loved one to go to jail. They may not want to ruin the family or disclose the secrets. They may be ashamed or afraid. Like, not think they can make it on their own. They may not want to split up the family if there are children involved. It's a very -- it's very hard to --

2

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

it's easy for us on the outside to think about justice, but when you're in a relationship, we tolerate a lot. And going to the police is not first on the list for most victims of violence or abuse to report. Adult or child.

O What does the term recantation mean to you?

Recantation's a term that means taking back Α something that you've already reported. And usually, in this situation, it means, sort of taking back what's true. So, sometimes victims tell accidentally, or they tell on purpose, or they tell during a medical emergency, or they tell somebody, and they don't know if it's going to go with unintended faced they're far. And then when they tell and then the perpetrator consequences or apologizes, they may take it back or minimize it, or even just not take it back but say, I don't want to -- I don't want him in trouble any more. I don't want to participate with prosecution. We're going to try to go to therapy and work this out. So, it's a taking back of a true allegation in order to -- usually the victims do it because they want to -- they don't want to get somebody in trouble, they're afraid of the allegation, or they want to try to save a relationship, especially in domestic violence.

Q And how common is that in your experience for a victim to recant an original truth?

A It's extremely common and in relationships with

3

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

domestic violence. And it can happen repeatedly until either the violence gets so bad, something changes, something gets more dangerous, or the situation just gets unbearable, sometimes the victims tolerate a whole lot until they're afraid they're going to die and then they realize, like, this is never going to change. And then they'll not recant anymore.

Q After an arrest or after a disclosure of violence, how does continued communication or continued contact with the victim and the perpetrator affect the victim throughout the process?

it's -- like I said, one of Α Oh, profound things and powerful things that influences how a the perpetrator acts. And acts is how victim perpetrator usually -- we -- you know the -- the people most powerful in our life are the people closest to us. They know our weaknesses, our hopes, our dreams, and what, you know, we've seen and by personal experience working with perpetrators and victims is they know what to say and do to get forgiveness. And so, there's lots of --continued contact allows the perpetrator to make promises, to say they're going to change, and over time, you know, if the violence has subsided, the fear goes down, and so people can be easily talked back into hope again. So, typically, continued contact allows the perpetrator lot of

25

influence over the victim's decision-making, perception of things, and also allows them to inject a lot more selfblame. Like, you know, I'm really sorry I lost my temper on you, but you know how to push my buttons, and you know how stressed out I was at work, and, you know, I was drinking a lot that night, so I'll go to AA and then we won't have these kind of fights, and maybe, you know, we'll go to marriage counseling and you'll learn how not to be nagging me or making me lose my mind. So, they start -- over time, the responsibility for the violence can get shared and the victim can get blamed and all these things can happen with ongoing contact and that's generally a process that has been documented and researched, but also can be pretty easily described by the -- the perpetrators have -- I've worked with when I ask them, what do you do to get your loved one back in your life?

Q Thank you, Dr. Valliere. The opinions and the education that you've shared with this jury today, do you hold all of those opinions and that education to a reasonable degree of professional certainty in your field?

## A Absolutely.

THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor. I would offer this witness for cross-examination.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel for the defense any questions?

	MD THEIDIEG Voc
1	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY: MR. JEFFRIES
5	Q Hi, doctor.
6	A Hi.
7	Q Valliere, correct?
8	A Yes. Thank you.
9	Q I I always get nervous with names especially
10	when we have doctors around. You know, white coat
11	syndrome. That sort of thing.
12	Okay. My name's James Jeffries and I represent
13	Ian Nakonechni who is the accused perpetrator in this
14	case.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Now, just from the beginning, I note in your
17	report that you have not spoken with the victim?
18	A Correct.
19	Q You've not spoken with Ian?
20	A Correct.
21	Q I'm going to use Ian because Nakonechni is one
22	of those types of names that's get you tongue-tied.
23	Have you reviewed any documents whatsoever with regards to
24	this case?
25	A No.

1	Q Okay. So, you're coming in truly blind?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. How often have you testified for any
4	defense in your career?
5	A In my whole career? A few times. It depends on
6	what situation. I work in family court, too, so I've
7	testified for custody court, I've testified for you
8	know, I've worked without people doing evaluations of
9	their risk or if they're a risk for kids. In this
10	particular context? Never.
11	Q Have you ever testified in the context of
12	juvenile dependency court? Like CYS court?
13	A Oh, sure.
14	Q Okay. So, you would know that even to a certain
15	extent in family law, and definitely very common in
16	dependency court, CYS court, there is usually
17	relationships that have both partners being in active drug
18	addiction?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Yes. Now, everything in your report, you seem to
21	describe a scenario in which a victim is not in active
22	drug addiction?
23	A Is I'm sorry?
24	Q Is not in active drug addiction? What would be
25	the scenario if the alleged victim is in active drug

addiction and so is her partner? 1 It makes the situation more volatile. There's a 2 lot more dysregulation, stressors, lack of control. But 3 drugs themselves don't create violence. They do exacerbate the risk of violence with a violent person. 5 Right. So, you would agree that the use of drugs Q probably takes away one's ability to act normally? 7 It depends. What kind of drug? What they --Α 8 level of intoxication from it is? 9 What about the scenario in which you have one 10 partner that's in active addiction, another partner that's 11 in active addiction, but that also that other partner also 12 suffered from bipolar and manic episodes? 13 That's a really complicated -- you're Α 14 supposed to diagnose anyone with bipolar or a mental 15 illness while they're in an active addiction because you 16 can't sort out what's the addiction and what's -- so, 17 that's too complicated of a question. 18 What about if this individual was diagnosed when 19 Q he was a child? 20 THE COMMONWEALTH: Objection. May we approach, 21 22 Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. Take a little break folks. Make 23 a little bit of noise. Yeah, you could step down if you 24 25 want to.

~	
1	(Sidebar 1 begins)
2	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am?
3	THE COMMONWEALTH: I'm objecting to the questions
4	regarding a diagnosis. I mean, he's trying to offer
5	evidence specifically now regarding the defendant at a
6	certain age being diagnosed with bipolar and it's just not
7	in evidence. There's no medical doctor to have testified
8	to it. So, putting that before this expert to to try
9	infer that's it's the truth without offering testimony
10	himself with a foundation is improper.
11	MR. JEFFRIES: I'm merely giving a hypothesis,
12	Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Well, you can do it that way, but not
14	
15	THE COMMONWEALTH: I think you can say mental
16	illness.
17	THE COURT: you can't say he, right?
18	THE COMMONWEALTH: Right.
19	THE COURT: You can't say he because
20	THE COMMONWEALTH: About his mental illness.
21	THE COURT: in other words, what you could do
22	is you could say,
23	MR. JEFFRIES: Oh, yeah. I gotcha there, yeah.
24	THE COURT: if a person is, then she could
25	answer that.

<u> </u>	
1	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay. Alright.
2	THE COURT: And then and then if there's no
3	if there's some evidence somewhere along the line that
4	suggests that, then the fact finders can tie the two of
5	those together, but but so, we'll sustain the
6	objection as to the form. But you can ask those questions,
7	okay?
8	MR. JEFFRIES: Would it be would you be okay
9	if I would just state that I'm going to restate my
10	question?
11	THE COMMONWEALTH: Just how would if one of
12	those (indiscernible) also (indiscernible)
13	THE COURT: No, I'll just
14	THE COMMONWEALTH: mental illness on top of
15	the addiction.
16	THE COURT: I'll rule, and I'll give it to you.
17	MR. JEFFRIES: Oh, okay.
18	THE COURT: I would say
19	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay.
20	THE COURT: I'll say is that fine?
21	(Sidebar 1 concludes)
22	THE COURT: Come on back up. Thank you, folks.
23	Please be seated again and we're going to return. So,
24	let's see, there was a question that was made, and a
25	question was asked, and it said, there was a objection

1	and so I sustained the last objection and Mr. Jeffries
2	understands that he can ask a similar question, but he
3	needs to rephrase, so that's where we are. So, disregard
4	the last question and I'm not sure there was an answer.
5	Let's disregard the question and answer, start again.
6	Okay?
7	BY: MR. JEFFRIES
8	Q My apologies, doctor. Again, white coat
9	syndrome. Nervous.
10	A scenario in which you have a victim who is in
11	active addiction, their partner that's in active
12	addiction, plus has been diagnosed when they were a child
13	with bipolar and/or manic episodes.
14	A Okay.
15	Q How would that change your scenario as far as
16	the dynamic between the victim and their alleged
17	perpetrator?
18	THE COURT: And this is a hypothetical question
19	posed
20	MR. JEFFRIES: Hypothetical. Hypothetical, yes.
21	THE COURT: to the doctor, okay? Can you
22	answer?
23	BY: MR. JEFFRIES
24	A The dynamics of domestic violence are completely
25	above and beyond the domestic the dynamics of dealing

25

illness. Mental illness, with someone with a mental especially bipolar, is not associated with violence. But violent people with bipolar disorder can be more violent, the impulsive, more dysregulated. So, more complicated things you have together, the more it can somebody getting hurt and increase the risk of complicates the prognosis and treatment so -- because they're -- especially if the person who knows they should be on medication is not or is using drugs to medicate or whatever. But bipolar disorder does not make someone violent. But like I said, a person -- a violent person -a person prone to violence with bipolar disorder when they get in a state may be more at risk of being violent.

Q Hypothetical again. Same scenario, active addiction. But we're going to remove the layer of bipolar, we're going to add on both partners fight with each other.

A Okay.

Q What say you with regards on your opinion of that?

A Well, conflict is normal in every relationship. When people are using or intoxicated, it can get more intense. It can get more destructive than you would otherwise like. But again, conflict does not equal violence. When a violent person is in a conflict and —for instance what might happen in this scenario if there's

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a perpetrator and a victim, and the victim is intoxicated and decided to be emboldened and speak up, or say I don't like this, or not feel afraid and stand up for themselves, the person prone to violence may escalate the violence to control the person who is now out of control. So, what — what may embolden — whereas intoxication may embolden a victim, it can also increase the risk that more severe violence happens in violent — if they're with a violent perpetrator. That makes sense.

Q Would you agree, doctor, that the use of drugs like heroin or any other type of drug -- hard drug, being in active addiction would complicate the scenario between a victim being, as you indicated, engaged in encounter intuitive behavior (sic)? Would their drug addiction affect how they behave?

A Well, sure. Drug addiction affects how you behave.

Q Would it also affect them -- cause I -- well, let me ask you it this way. I note in your report on page two, bottom, you have bullet points, and you say, "Studies show that society, including law enforcement, have strong expectations of how a victim should act and if he or she is a real victim. Some of these expectations include immediate reporting," -- and you touched on that -- "righteous anger, or other emotional displays," -- you

certainly testified about that -- "identification of the assault as an assault without confusion," -- again, you testified to that -- "avoidance of the perpetrator and/or demonstrated fear of perpetrator after the offense, and cooperation with law enforcement/prosecution."

Now, I note one thing that's missing from this list. What about the victim -- alleged victim lying?

A That we expect victims to lie?

Q Well, how does that -- how does that change because it seems like you're basing a lot -- it's under a scope of opinion. You're basing your opinion on these expectations that we as society have that victims should act.

A Right.

Q Well, what about when a victim is lying and is shown to be lying on multiple occasions?

A Victims lie a lot. In fact, the secrecy of domestic violence makes victims lie. They have to hide it. They have to deny it. They have to lie about where their injuries come from. So, people lie a lot, and if somebody as well has an addiction, they may lie to law enforcement because they're afraid of -- they may have bad experiences with law enforcement, they may fear that they'll be in trouble for having an addiction themselves. So, -- but, you know, so victims lie -- just the nature of keeping a

1	secret requires the victim to be a liar. So, I'm not in
2	terms of they usually lie to protect the offender, not
3	lie to create false allegations if that I'm not sure
4	I'm quite
5	Q I'm just asking if it's if you know that a
6	victim is lying?
7	A If I know a victim is lying?
8	Q Uh-huh.
9	A That's I guess if I knew the person, I mean -
10	· -
11	Q Okay. Now, would you agree that trauma
12	because you mentioned trauma a couple times in your report
13	does affect others differently, correct?
14	A Sure.
15	Q And so, without meeting with this particular
16	victim or even speaking with Ian, you'd agree that this is
17	just a generalized report, not meant to make an opinion on
.18	this these particular folks involved in this case?
19	A Sure. As the judge already said, your job is to
20	determine who's telling the truth, not mine.
21	Q Okay. I'm going to consult my notes doctor, just
22	one second.
23	A Sure.
24	Q Doctor, that is all I have and thank you for
25	coming today.

1	
1	A Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And no no other follow-up,
3	right?
4	THE COMMONWEALTH: No thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Is this witness dismissed?
6	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. You're
8	you're
9	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: thank you for coming. You're free
11	to go or stay if you'd like.
12	DR. VERONIQUE VALLIERE: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COMMONWEALTH: The Commonwealth would call
14	Jim Teagarden.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Teagarden, good morning.
16	Come on all the way up. You can put your coat down
17	wherever you might. I'm going to have you sworn in, so
18	come up this way and I'll tell you to stop, if you would,
19	there. Raise your right hand.
20	(The witness is sworn.)
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat right here, please.
22	The Commonwealth is calling you as a witness, so keep your
23	voice up. She'll have questions for you, I'm certain. And
24	if there's any objection, just stop. Let me get it sorted
25	out and then we'll let you know which way it's supposed to

1	go. And ma'am, your witness has been sworn. Go ahead.
2	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	JAMES TEAGARDEN
4	Having been duly sworn, as hereafter certified, was
5	examined and said as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY: MS. WERNER
8	Q Sir, can you please introduce yourself to the
9	members of the jury?
10	A James Allen Teagarden. I'm the father of Jamie.
11	Q And we have heard from Jamie, and she has told
12	us about her drug addiction. Can you tell us about how
13	long you've known Jamie has had the drug addiction and how
14	it's affected your relationship?
15	A She senior in high school. And teachers
16	started to say that there was she had changed. And then
17	we found out that a boyfriend of hers introduced her to
18	heroin and that was the beginning. It was, you know,
19	Q So, since high school she's been battling drug
20	addiction?
21	A Absolutely. Yes. Yes.
22	Q And has that affected her relationship with you
23	and your family?
24	A The rest of the family gave up on her. Numerous
25	times we tried to get her into rehab, and it just never

1	worked. It was too strong. She had me.
2	Q And I want to take you back to 2022 around, you
3	know, around the beginning of the year February
4	specifically and I want to ask you, first and foremost,
5	before the Sheetz incident, did you know who Ian
6	Nakonechni was?
7	A No, I did not.
8	Q Okay. Had you ever met him before?
9	A Never did.
10	Q Okay. Did you know that Jamie was dating him?
11	A No. The way I was introduced to Ian was Jamie
12	was scheduled to go to rehab at seven in the morning at
13	her mother's house and I called the next day to see if she
14	made it to rehab and her mother told me, no, a gentleman
15	named Ian Nakonechni came down the driveway and she got in
16	the car and was gone. I never saw her again.
17	Q And she didn't go to rehab?
18	A No, she did not.
19	Q Now, around February 27 <sup>th</sup> , the day that you
20	arrived at Sheetz, when was the last time you saw Jamie
21	before that day?
22	A I $$ I told Jamie that if she ever needed me,
23	just call and I will come and get you. She was in
24	Pittsburgh at that time staying, so
25	Q Where was she staying?

1	A Somewhere on the North Side with a with a
2	roommate named Katie. I didn't I didn't know know
3	her that well, but
4	Q But you generally knew where Jamie was staying?
5	A Yes. So, I started to try to get a relationship
6	to get her out of Pittsburgh. I would meet her every
7	Friday to take her out to dinner. And we'd go to dinner
8	and if she wanted to have fun, we'd go to the casino. Go
9	to the casino, I'd give her a little bit of money to go to
10	the casino and then I'd drop her off. That continued for,
11	you know, a couple months and then
12	Q Were you supposed to have that date on Friday
13	the 25 <sup>th</sup> ?
14	A I don't recall. I don't recall, no.
15	Q Okay. Well, let me ask you this, the Sunday
16	the Friday before you went to Sheetz, did you see Jamie
17	that Friday for a dinner or going to the casino?
18	A I don't recall, but but it was it was just
19	a continuing thing. We we we were getting a
20	relationship back and I knew where she was and I knew who
21	she was staying with and it just was what I had to
22	do.
23	Q Okay. So, talk to me about the weekend of the
24	incident that we're here for today? Tell me, like, what
25	was going on that weekend that first came to your

1	attention?
2	A Her roommate Katie called me and said
3	MR. JEFFRIES: Objection. Hearsay.
4	THE COMMONWEALTH: I'll redirect the question,
5	Judge.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So then
7	BY: MS. WERNER
8	Q Without
9	THE COURT: So, you don't have to answer. I don't
10	have to rule. Go ahead.
11	BY: MS. WERNER
12	Q Without telling us what Katie told you, you had
13	a phone call with Katie?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. And after that phone call with Katie, what
16	did you do?
17	A Tried to get in contact with my daughter Jamie.
18	Q Okay. And how did you try to get in contact with
19	Jamie?
20	A Calling Ian phone number that that was
21	given to me by Katie.
22	Q Okay. So, when did you try to call Jamie
23	first?
24	A Absolutely.
25	Q And did she answer any of your calls?

1	A No.
2	Q Did you try to text her?
3	A More than likely, yes. I'm not sure.
4	Q Did you ever hear directly from Jamie through
5	phone that weekend?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. Now, you said you also called Ian?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Did you get to speak with the defendant?
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q How?
12	A I think through text messages.
13	Q Okay. And what did those text messages generally
14	say?
15	A Where is Jamie? I want to talk to her. I heard
16	that she didn't look good.
17	Q Okay. And what did he say?
18	A She's not with me. I dropped her off in
19	Carnegie. I was asking her if she needed to quit doing the
20	dope and the drugs, and if she wasn't going to quit, we
21	were going to go our separate ways, and I dropped her off
22	in Carnegie.
23	Q That's what the defendant told you?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. And did you talk to him about wanting to
	II

1	hear from her?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q And what did he say?
4	A He didn't know where she was at. He dropped her
5	off in Carnegie.
6	Q Was he going to try to locate her for you?
7	A I text him back and stated well, a day or two
8	went by and I said, look, she's not in Carnegie. I'm going
9	to file a missing person's report on her. He responded
10	with, I don't know what that would do. That's not going to
11	do any good. I'll try to find her.
12	Q Okay. So, did you eventually hear somehow from
13	your daughter that weekend?
14	A Well, no. No.
15	Q When did you hear from Jamie next?
16	A Ian had texted me and said that he was going to
17	go find her in Carnegie, and I don't even know where she
18	was at, but he said he located her, and he would let me
19	talk to her at a later date.
20	Q Okay. And did he in fact let you talk to her?
21	A I had got a received a phone call the night
22	of the incident at Sheetz.
23	Q And from whose phone did you receive a call?
24	A I had no idea whose phone it was, but I don't
25	know.

1	Q Let me ask you this directly. Did the defendant
2	use his phone to have Jamie talk to you?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. What happened during that phone initial
5	phone conversation with Jamie?
6	A Ian said, here's Jamie. You want to talk to her?
7	Yes, I do. Handed the phone to my daughter Jamie and I
8	could tell in her voice, her nose and whatnot, her
9	breathing, that she didn't sound good. And knowing that
10	she didn't look good, and
11	Q What was the
12	A I said, Jamie, are you alright? And suddenly
13	the phone fell to the ground, and I heard running and Ian
14	on the phone chasing my daughter. They were going through
15	the weeds. Sounded like the weeds. Jamie, I'm going to get
16	you. Jamie, come back. Jamie, don't run from me. Jamie,
17	Jamie, Jamie. And I got on the phone and called 9-1-1.
18	Q Okay. And what did you tell 9-1-1?
19	A My daughter's being chased by somebody. I don't
20	know where they're at. Please help me. You know, he's
21	going to kill her.
22	Q What are you feeling like when this is
23	happening?
24	A In shock. Just totally helpless.
25	Q And where are you physically when these phone

-	calls are happening?
1	
2	A In my kitchen at home.
3	Q Okay. And when you call 9-1-1, do you stay at
4	home, or do you do something else?
5	A No, I called 9-1-1 and then it it went to the
6	state police barracks and and it was such a panic, he's
7	still chasing her on the phone. I put the phone up to the
8	other phone so the troopers could hear him yelling at
9	Jamie, come back. Jamie, this, that. And I got back on the
10	phone and nobody was the troopers weren't on there. I
11	got disconnected or whatnot.
12	Q So, then what do you do?
13	A In a panic, I run down into to my van and get
14	my van. I was going to drive to the state police barracks
15	and talk to them.
16	Q What kind of car do you drive, Mr. Teagarden?
17	A It's a white Mercedes van.
18	Q Is a big van? Little van?
19	A Big van.
20	Q Does it look like a passenger van?
21	A It's a cargo van.
22	Q And so, you get in your van and you're about
23	you're wanting to drive to the barracks?
24	A Right.
25	Q Okay. Does anything happen at all while you're

1	on your way to the state police barracks?
2	A The phone rings.
3	Q Your cell phone?
4	A My cell phone.
5	Q Okay.
6	A Strange number, I don't know who where it
7	came from, but I answer.
8	Q And who is it?
9	A Jamie.
10	Q And what does Jamie say?
11	A Dad, come and get me.
12	Q Okay. And then what do you do?
13	A She said, Dad, I'm at Sheetz. Come and get me. I
14	don't know what Sheetz, but then I think she repeated,
15	the one by Walmart.
16	Q Okay.
17	A So, I head to Sheetz.
18	Q Okay. Were you close?
19	A Two miles, three miles away.
20	Q So, how long do you think it took for you to get
21	there?
22	A Not very long.
23	Q And when you arrive at the Sheetz, what do you
24	do?
25	A I pull in the parking lot and look around to see
	II .

1	what's going on outside. Nothing was going on outside. So,
2	I go and go through the front entrance, and was
3	Q You see Jamie?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And what how did Jamie appear to you when you
6	saw her?
7	A It was disgusting. She was pummeled. She didn't
8	look human. She looked like a pumpkin on her head. No
9	eyes, no nose, no mouth. Just swollen. Just inhuman.
10	Q And as her father, how did you feel?
11	A I grabbed her and I Jamie, Jamie. Who did
12	this? What did this? And there was a bunch of kids sitting
13	there and I I just turned turned her to them, you
14	know who did this to her? Who did this to her? And
15	Jamie repeated, Ian.
16	Q And so, you were trying to talk to the kids that
17	were there?
18	A Right.
19	Q Okay. And at how long do you stay at Sheetz
20	for?
21	A She wanted to leave.
22	Q She wanted to leave? Where did she want to go?
23	A Any just leave.
24	Q Okay.
25	A Cause she just wanted to leave, but I said, no,
	II

we're going to wait for the police. 1 And what is she doing or what does she do --2 how's she acting when you tell her, we're going to wait 3 for the police? Just cry -- you know, I couldn't tell. She was Α 5 unrecognizable. I was just holding her and -- and -- and 6 my goodness gracious, you know. 7 Did she want to talk to the police? Well, there were some things that happened 9 before that. 10 Q Okay. 11 All of a sudden there was banging on the window, 12 I looked and -- and it was Ian. He was yelling 13 something at Jamie. Jamie. And I looked at the kids, I 14 said, is this -- help me. Let's get this guy. And I 15 proceeded to run outside, and he was running to a vehicle 16 from me, and he got in the vehicle, and I wanted to grab 17 him to hold him until the police came, but I -- I stopped 18 because I thought, if he has a gun, I stick my head in the 19 window, my life's over with. 20 THE COMMONWEALTH: Your Honor, I would ask for 21 permission to pull up clip number fourteen dash zero-zero-22 two which has already been admitted into evidence as 23 Exhibit 24. 24 THE COURT: Exhibit 24 is -- is clip number 25

	s
1	fourteen as I see it, so let's go ahead and play that one.
2	You want to take care of the lights?
3	BY: MS. WERNER
4	Q Mr. Teagarden, I want you to watch this clip,
5	please?
6	A Okay.
7	(Commonwealth Exhibit 24 begins at 10:17:09.)
8	THE COURT: This seems like it's about two
9	minutes.
10	BY: MS. WERNER
11	Q Can you do do you know that van?
12	A That is my that is my van.
13	Q Have you seen this video before, Mr. Teagarden?
14	A No, I have not.
15	Q Did we see you go inside the Sheetz?
16	A I just did. Yes, I did.
17	Q Okay. And during this time when you walk into
18	Sheetz, who are you talking with? Are you talking to
19	Jamie?
20	A Walking into Sheetz? Nobody. I was talking to
21	nobody.
22	Q And then you come into contact with Jamie?
23	A As soon as I went through the front door.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: Can you pause it?
25	(The video is paused at 10:18:29)
	II

1	BY: MS. WERNER
2	Q And that right there?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is that
5	A That's when he was yelling at me or Jamie or who
6	knows.
7	Q So, could you see him through the window?
8	A No, I couldn't. I just no.
9	Q You said you heard pounding?
10	A I yes.
11	Q Okay. And then what did you do from that point?
12	A Looked at all the teenage boys there and said,
13	help me. Let's get him. Look what he did to my daughter.
14	Q Okay.
15	THE COMMONWEALTH: You can play.
16	(The video resumes at 10:19:01)
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: Can you pause, please?
18	(The video is paused at 10:19:30)
19	BY: MS. WERNER
20	Q Mr. Teagarden, we saw you come out of the
21	Sheetz, is that correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Did you have to hop the barrier?
24	A Yes, I did.
25	Q And when did you approach that vehicle?
	ll .

1	A I just did right instantly.
2	Q And who did you see inside that vehicle?
3	A I grabbed ahold of the door to open the door and
4	it was locked. But it was Ian's mother staring right at
5	me.
6	Q Did you see Ian in that vehicle, too?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did you say anything?
9	A Just yelling, stop, stop.
10	Q And did she stop?
11	A No, she didn't. She drove away and practically
12	knocked me over.
13	Q Okay.
14	THE COMMONWEALTH: You can play.
15	(The video resumes at 10:20:13 and concludes at
16	10:20:23.)
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you.
18	BY: MS. WERNER
19	Q Mr. Teagarden, did your did Jamie, to your
20	knowledge, report anything that evening at Sheetz to the
21	police?
22	A I don't believe so. I went with a couple
23	troopers there's a couple troopers went over to talk to
24	Jamie and it was Trooper Barnhart informed me that
25	she didn't want to press charges.

1	Q And how did that make you feel?
2	A I was terrified, and it was just unbelievable
3	that someone would do that to you and
4	Q Were you
5	A I have I have no idea of the control he
6	had over her that she didn't want to press charges.
7	Q Did the fact that Jamie didn't want to press
8	charges did it frustrate you?
9	A Absolutely.
10	Q And so, what happened that night between you and
11	your daughter? Did she go home with you?
12	A Took her home and put her in the basement and I
13	had my brother Bob, who is a retired state trooper he
14	came down to to look at her, and he took a picture of
15	her and told her, you're going to testify or
16	MR. JEFFRIES: Objection. Objection. This is
17	hearsay.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	BY: MS. WERNER
20	Q Without telling us what
21	THE COURT: Sustain the objection.
22	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	BY: MS. WERNER
24	Q Without telling us what Uncle Bob told Jamie, I
25	want to know what you said to Jamie?

1	A You need to testify. This is sickening. This is
2	this is not right. You know, he's
3	Q And
4	MR. JEFFRIES: Your Honor? Your Honor, may we
5	approach?
6	THE COURT: Sure. Let's have you step down for a
7	second, Mr. Teagarden.
8	MR. TEAGARDEN: Step down?
9	THE COURT: Just so you can't hear us very well.
10	And then, ladies and gentlemen, make a little bit of noise
11	and
12	(Sidebar 2 begins)
13	THE COURT: go ahead.
14	MR. JEFFRIES: When he mentioned that Bob
15	Teagarden was a State Trooper, I feel that was prejudicial
16	to my client. Tends to show that
17	THE COURT: I don't think it is particularly. But
18	actually I was surprised you objected
19	MR. JEFFRIES: Say again, Judge?
20	THE COURT: I thought I thought it sort of
21	fit into your defense to say that Bob had said you're
22	going to testify, that's why I was somewhat surprised that
23	you objected, although the ruling was appropriate. I can
24	tell them to disregard that
25	THE COMMONWEALTH: You can give them a cautionary

1	instruction. I don't think it's harmful.
2	THE COURT: Just that he has no more no more
3	durative weight than any other citizen and he's not
4	instructed(indiscernible).
5	THE COMMONWEALTH: Do you want me to tell him
6	that real quick, too, before I or we
7	THE COURT: No, just
8	THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay.
9	THE COURT: Do you want anything like that? I
10	don't think it's a critical thing but may be something you
11	want to ask on cross. You tell me. I mean, I don't think
12	it's particularly objectionable, but you're steering the
13	ship for the defense. So, if you want me to give them an
14	instruction, I certainly will.
15	MR. JEFFRIES: No. That's okay.
16	THE COURT: Yeah, I think I see that. I mean,
17	I see either way.
. 18	MR. JEFFRIES: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: I think either one of those are
20	reasonable decisions. Okay. Sounds good.
21	(Sidebar 2 concludes)
22	THE COURT: Okay, mister where'd you go
23	Teagarden, come on back up. Have a seat here again. Ladies
24	and gentlemen, thanks for your efforts at noise and it
25	does help me a lot. And the last question, there was an
	11

l l	
1	objection, or I think counsel asked to approach. So, no
2	ruling by the Court. We're going to move on.
3	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	BY: MS. WERNER
5	Q Mr. Teagarden, I want to know you indicated
6	that you were telling Jamie that she needs to testify, and
7	she needs to press charges?
8	A Absolutely.
9	Q Did you when you were having this
10	conversation
11	THE COURT: Mr. Teagarden? Pull that microphone
12	up. It's just picking up your breath and we'll be able to
13	I know we'll be able to hear you, so talk loud.
14	MR. TEAGARDEN: I'll talk loud.
15	THE COURT: Yep. Go ahead.
16	BY: MS. WERNER
17	Q Was this an emotional conversation?
18	A (Indiscernible), very much.
19	Q Express to us the circumstances of of your
20	emotions? Her emotions?
21	(The Court adjusts the witness microphone
22	placement.)
23	THE COURT: Don't get nervous.
24	MR. TEAGARDEN: Okay.
25	THE COURT: That's good.

BY: MS. WERNER 1 You know, as a father and a parent, and -- and 2 you see something happen to your daughter like this, and -3 - there's only one route to go. You've got to testify and get this behind you to heal and help the family. It 5 affected me as much as it -- the physical damage to her. 6 It was just a terrible, terrible thing. 7 Let me ask you this directly, did you tell Jamie 8 in any way, or infer to her, taking your life over this if 9 she didn't move forward? 10 11 Α Yes. And tell us about, you know, your state of mind 12 during that comment? 13 I was helpless, frustrated. Standing there as 14 she was in the hospital bed -- and I just had enough. And 15 it was -- I don't know if it was a threat that I was going 16 to take my life, or I really was. I'd had enough and --17 and -- and I just said, I've had enough. I'm going to go 18 home and blow my head off, and that was it. 19 Did you help convince Jamie to go to the 20 hospital at least? 21 Yeah, (indiscernible). 22 Α Okay. And she --23 0 Well -- well, yes. Yes, I did. 24 Α Well, cause we know she went to the hospital? 25 0

1	A That was her girlfriend Katie
2	Q Okay.
3	A convinced her.
4	Q Okay. So, did you take her to the hospital?
5	A No. No, her girlfriend Katie took her to the
6	hospital.
7	Q Okay. And then, how did so then how did you
8	find out that Jamie was in the hospital?
9	A Her girlfriend. I called called her
10	girlfriend Katie and she told me that she had her at AGH.
11	Q And when you arrived, do you know whether it was
12	before or after Jamie was admitted?
13	A When I arrived at the hospital?
14	Q Yes.
15	A I knew she was admitted, yeah. I mean, I
16	Q And when you arrived, had she already told
17	hospital staff what had happened to her or were you
18	present?
19	A I was not present for that, no.
20	Q Okay. And what's your recollection as to how the
21	police were called for Jamie to speak with them at the
22	hospital?
23	A There there was a a group of ladies there
24	for domestic violence, and whatnot, that was talking to
25	her, and I thought they were going to help out and they

1	were going to help her do the right thing, I would have
2	somebody on my side, and nothing ever materialized. It was
3	I think Jamie told them she wasn't going to do anything
4	about it.
5	Q Okay.
6	A And so, they (indiscernible).
7	Q So, how how were the police finally called
8	for Jamie to speak with them? Do you know?
9	A I'm not sure if I made contact with the state
10	police or they might have called me.
11	Q You don't know
12	A I don't know. It just happened.
13	Q Okay.
14	A I think they were looking, you know, to to
15	to for the investigation of it and they made contact
16	with me, hey, what's going on.
17	Q Mr. Teagarden, did you threaten to cut Jamie off
18	financially? Did you threaten to kick her out of a house
19	for her to live in? Did you threaten to cut off all
20	communication with her if she did not talk to law
21	enforcement about what happened to her?
22	A Yeah. Yes, I did.
23	Q And tell us why you did that?
24	A Because I love my daughter and it was the right
25	thing to do, and nobody's going to abuse my family and

1	beat them to a pulp like that without you know,
2	repercussions, and something needed to be done.
3	Q Okay.
4	A And she was not supposed to be in contact
5	with Ian and I found out through test messages that he was
6	contacting her and trying to get her to change her story
7	of what happened.
8	Q While that frustration was happening, at any
9	point, did you threaten these things in order for her to
10	make up a lie about Ian doing this to her?
11	A Absolutely not. I knew what happened. I knew he
12	he was involved. This is what happened. Just testify.
13	And no, I did not tell her to lie, to make up anything
14	about this. No.
15	Q You stated that you didn't know Ian before this
16	incident?
17	A Absolutely not.
18	Q At any point during this process, did you ever
19	have any conversations with Jamie or force her to make up
20	any lies or force her to testify about the truth?
21	A No. No. I never forced her to make a lie. I
22	would never do that. It's just not there was nothing to
23	lie about.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: May I have a moment, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Sure.

THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor. I would
offer this witness for cross-examination.
THE COURT: Mr. Jeffries, any questions?
MR. JEFFRIES: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY: MR. JEFFRIES
Q Good morning, Mr. Teagarden. My name is James
Jeffries and I represent Ian Nakonechni.
A Good morning, James.
Q Thank you for being here.
A Yes.
Q Now, I I have a few questions that kind of
clear up a need things to be cleared up. You
indicated that you did not know Ian until the incident at
Sheetz on the 27 <sup>th</sup> ?
A No, no. The incident where he came and picked
her up in the driveway.
Q So, you did know Ian?
A Yes, I knew that he
Q How?
A I didn't know him, but
Q You knew of him?
II
A that was the name that was given to me who

1	Q How how did you know that?
2	A I wanted to know where she went and who she went
3	with, and so, I just started calling around.
4	Q And when when did you start calling around?
5	A I don't know, within a week.
6	Q Of the incident?
7	A Of when he picked her up and and
8	Q Okay. So,
9	A To to to know who who Ian, I I got -
10	- I looked up the phone number and found his mother's
11	phone number, and I called her just to talk to see what
12	was up with
13	Q Well, when did you do that?
14	A Oh, within the week that she didn't go to rehab
15	and he was the one that drove down the driveway and she
16	went with him.
17	Q When did that happen?
18	A I have no idea. I don't know the dates. It was
19	in the summertime.
20	Q Well, I thought you testified it was in February
21	of 2022?
22	THE COMMONWEALTH: Objection. That's
23	mischaracterizing his testimony, Your Honor.
24	MR. JEFFRIES: Did
25	THE COURT: Overrule. I mean we'll get it

1	sorted out.
2	BY: MR. JEFFRIES
3	Q Did you not testify after a question from Deputy
4	Werner, she said let's talk about the events in February
5	of 2022, and you indicated you never met Ian?
6	A Okay.
7	Q And that you first heard about him when she was
8	supposed to go to rehab at seven A.M
9	A Correct.
10	Q with her that Ian came down the driveway
.11	and she was gone? So, what date was that?
12	A I have no idea.
13	Q Was it in February?
14	A I don't recall.
15	Q Was it cold out?
16	A I don't recall.
17	Q Okay. Now, you indicated that Jamie resided in
18	the North Side?
19	·A· Of Pittsburgh?
20	Q Yeah?
21	A She's resided in a lot of places.
22	Q So, where where did Jamie reside?
23	A It was Springhill section of Pittsburgh on the
24	North Side is where this apartment was.
25	Q Okay. Were you ever at that apartment?
	<b>n</b>

1	A When I went to pick her up to take her to
2	dinner, yes. Yes.
3	Q On those Fridays?
4	A Yes.
5	Q When did this plan for Fridays come up?
6	A I don't know the date. It it it just it
7	was we just wanted to get together. I wanted to take
8	her to dinner and and and make sure she was alright.
9	Q Okay. Well, isn't it true you testified that
10	this was a way to try to get you her back in your life
11	and try to get her off the addiction?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. So is that the answer to my last question
14	then?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Oh, okay. But you don't know when that started?
17	A No, it's it's been two years. I don't keep
18	track of the dates or times. It it a period in our
19	life.
20	Q Okay. So, let's let's despite what you
21	just said notwithstanding, let's go back in time.
22	A Okay.
23	Q You indicated that unfortunately Jamie got into
24	heroin from a boyfriend, introduced it to her when she was
25	a senior in high school. Do you recall what year that was?

1	A Nineteen 2005? '07? No. I I I don't
2	no, I don't recall when she was a senior in high school,
3	no.
4	Q Okay. Well, would you agree that it's been a
5	long time?
6	A Oh, absolutely.
7	Q Gotcha. And as part of her addiction, what are
8	some of the things that she did that would cause, as you
9	said, family members to abandon her?
10	A Just being an addict and not following
11	through with rehab. She was
12	Q Would she steal from you?
13	A Never from me.
14	Q Would she steal from other family members?
15	A No.
16	Q Did she steal from anybody?
17	A That I do not know.
18	Q Would she not show up where she said she'd show
19	up at times and just be generally unreliable?
20	A She would kind of just disappear.
21	Q I understand.
22	A She disappeared.
23	Q And when she would disappear, would that be for
24	long periods of time?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And when she would reappear after these
2	disappearances, would she ever be beaten up or injured?
3	A No.
4	Q Were you aware that she was engaged in
5	prostitution?
6	A No. No.
7	Q Okay.
	A Where did you get this information?
8	
9	
10	
11	Q So, you weren't aware of that?
12	A No.
13	Q And were you aware of the recantation that she
14	gave to Mr. Ross
15	A Yes, I was.
16	Q in June?
17	A Yes, I was.
18	Q Have you ever seen that statement?
19	A No.
20	MR. JEFFRIES: Your Honor, may I approach the
21	witness?
22	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
23	THE COMMONWEALTH: May we approach, Judge?
24	THE COURT: Sure. Let's it's about let's
25	take a quick break right now. Something like ten minutes
	II

1	or something, okay? So, we'll do our best and it was
2	close to our mid-morning break anyway. Alright, don't
3	discuss the case with anybody to include each other. Leave
4	your notebooks and pens, please. Mr. Teagarden, you can
5	step down. Let me talk to them just a minute. Yeah. Go
6	hey
7	LAW CLERK: (Indiscernible).
8	THE COURT: what?
9	LAW CLERK: It's already 10:30.
10	THE COURT: Where's oh, Bob, give them if
11	somebody wants to go out and smoke, give them enough time
12	for that. We'll make it fifteen minutes, okay? That will
13	give you all a break, too.
14	He's a classic.
15	Okay, so we're still on the record. Let me ask
16	you a couple things. First of all, I almost had you
17	approach just to say, look, you were asking certain
18	questions that I was afraid that this witness was going to
19	say that your client was in jail or something like that.
20	THE COMMONWEALTH: No, I told him I I
21	directly
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	THE COMMONWEALTH: told him not to say
24	something.
25	MR. JEFFRIES: Your Honor,

1	THE COURT: So so my so my I know
2	MR. JEFFRIES: video calls
3	THE COURT: no, I understand that. But my poor
4	you know, so there were other issues that were such
5	open-ended questions that I was kind of like going to
6	say, look, ask whatever you want, but don't ask questions
7	and then ask for a mistrial.
8	MR. JEFFRIES: My client has
9	THE COURT: So, the question is
10	MR. JEFFRIES: instructed me to say that it's
11	fine because he has nothing to hide.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So, then question then is you
13	wanted to, I guess, maybe, have some
14	THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, just the relevance of
15	why we're showing the victim's recantation statement to
16	the dad when he knows that she did it, but he didn't write
17	it. He wasn't present. He wasn't there.
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	THE COMMONWEALTH: I don't know what the use of
20	showing him
21	THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to ask if she's
22	been truthful. It's relevant for this and that. So,
23	there's certainly
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, to her
25	THE COURT: you know, for cross

1	THE COMMONWEALTH: but not to her dad.
2	THE COURT: well, no, he can no, not as to
3	the particular statement. Let's just say he can ask him
4	he could ask he, the attorney, can ask I will say
5	that I could I would rule that he could ask whether she
6	is truthful in other matters, let's say. That seems
7	reasonable. If she says yes or no he says yes or no
8	that's sort of one issue.
9	How is the use of her statement to him relevant?
10	I don't know that it is.
11	MR. JEFFRIES: He indicated that he was not aware
12	that she was engaged in prostitution. And in the
13	statement, she indicates specifically the events that she
14	engaged in sex for money with two men
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	MR. JEFFRIES: and was beaten up.
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: But why are we telling dad
18	that?
19	THE COURT: So, why why is it relevant to ask
20	him that though? I'm not sure that it is or
21	THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, that's only
22	THE COURT: or not relevant or he may not be
23	competent to answer.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: And plus, why are we
25	embarrassing dad to clearly give him details of
	II

something that he's not fully aware of or even knew about.

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, there's things in this recantation about my dad forced me to do this that are fair game, but showing him the statement of her details when he's -- he didn't make them, he's not present, he's not aware, he didn't even know she was engaged in it --

THE COURT: Right.

THE COMMONWEALTH: -- is, I think --

THE COURT: So, the question is, is it impeachment of Jamie? I'm not so sure. Is it impeachment? Probably no.

MR. JEFFRIES: Based upon the information my investigator just gave me, I'll withdraw the question and I will --

THE COURT: Okay. So, then there is, though, this area where there are pieces of that, so I don't want to make a blanket ruling because it's up to you, but there are pieces of that -- that -- that the Commonwealth would acknowledge says, my dad was forcing me this or that. You can ask those questions if you want, but -- you know, that's up to you. So, at this point, we'll say there's -- that's not -- and Mr. Teagarden is not in the room. At this point, we'll say that there's no question pending, and we'll start with your next question.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, here's the -- here's where we are though. We're going to give you guys ten minutes from now and we'll try to get the jurors back here at ten minutes till -- meaning not you guys -- in the colloquial I mean, two attorneys here and others. When we come back, when this cross is done, you expect to introduce -- start the beginning of a 404(b)?

THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes, Judge.

COURT: So, let's take care of a couple things and I'll make sure I still give you all ten minutes. What I would intend to do is read what I believe to be the only jury instruction that is -- that comes on you've heard evidence is, and that the 404 (b) (indiscernible) -- you will hear evidence -- and I'm going to do this before and probably after -- you will hear evidence tending to prove the defendant, Mr. Nakonechni, was involved in either an offense -- so, I guess I need to know that -- or improper conduct for which they are not on trial today.

And speaking of testimony that's known as 404(b) evidence, I'll say, this evidence that would be presented to you is for a limited purpose. That is for the purpose of tending to show, and my intention would be to say this evidence is permitted only to show a motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence

of mistake or accident, and I would say that it cannot be considered for you -- for any other purpose. It must not - you must not regard this evidence as showing the defendant is -- person of bad character or criminal tendencies from which you might be inclined to infer guilt. That's the standard instruction. That's what they'll get. Mr. Jeffries, that seem --

MR. JEFFRIES: Yeah. That's fine, Your Honor. But I would also just make sure that my objection is -- my continuing objection is lodged --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JEFFRIES: -- for purposes of appeal because 404(b)(2) goes on to say, in a criminal case, this evidence is admissible only if the probative value of the evidence outweighs its potential for unfair prejudice. It's my understanding that Ms. Black in particular, based upon a statement that I received from the Commonwealth, is talking about events that happened in 2008. That's fifteen years ago. I think that that is one, not relevant. It's too far back in time. And two, if we're going to allow evidence from fifteen years ago, I think that severely prejudices my client. If the jury hears that, they could construe that that -- and they could think that could be like recent.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't think it's unfair to

say prejudicial and probative and relevance have something to do with how old things are. What's the Commonwealth's response there?

THE COMMONWEALTH: Your Honor, aside from it being in 2008, the similarities to the cases involving motive, lack of mistake, and common scheme are too similar to rule them out. It's more probative than it is prejudicial. There is a common scheme involved in each victims' case and they pre-date in order from one victim, to the next victim, to our current victim.

So, there is case law that indicates it is admissible. It is relevant -- very relevant especially in cases such as this in domestic violence of sexual assaults where the corroborating evidence is limited because these crimes occur in private. So, when the victim is usually the only eyewitness to a crime, the court finds this type of evidence most probative to the Commonwealth in the presentation of our case, which is why it is usually, by statute, admissible at trial.

MR. JEFFRIES: Your Honor, I -- I -- I agree with everything Ms. Werner says. She -- she's correct on the law. However, it -- it just -- in this case, though, this is -- this is not like the case law she's stating. This is from fifteen years ago.

1 THE COURT: Okay. MR. JEFFRIES: That's a long time. 2 THE COURT: Well, let me say this. I don't think 3 anybody in the room should pretend that this evidence is not prejudicial. But it's the nature of all evidence presented by the Commonwealth, right? Meaning --6 THE COMMONWEALTH: I -- sorry, Judge. 7 THE COURT: That's okay. I don't think anybody in the evidence should pretend that 9 the room prejudicial. But when you call an eyewitness and an 10 eyewitness says somebody did something to me, obviously 11 that's prejudicial. 12 THE COMMONWEALTH: It is innately prejudicial. 13 THE COURT: Yeah. 14 THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, they clearly --15 THE COURT: And then the question becomes, more 16 prejudicial and probative, and everybody knows, there's no 17 questions about it, that -- that the -- we do not permit 18 the Commonwealth to prove bad character to show that if 19 somebody did something on one occasion, they did that on 20 21 another occasion. That having been said, though, and -- and given 22 there is certainly reluctance on behalf of all the 23 trial judges to admit that evidence unless it really fits 24 the -- the exception. And in this particular case, the --25

6

7

5

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if I have ever permitted 404(b) evidence before. And so, in this particular case, the facts as we expect them to be presented by the 404(b) evidence as we had reviewed from the Commonwealth's notice of intention to use 404(b) evidence are so remarkably similar to what is alleged to have occurred by this alleged victim, that it does come in. So, we looked at those things first.

The next thing, though, is in sort of the -without context, I think your -- your notion of saying 2008 is -- what, removed in time by fifteen -- fourteen years. But given the fact that there are three separate women witnesses and it almost fills a timeframe of a starting time and then continuing for the notion of a common scheme, essentially supported by the notion that jealousy is the factor that has caused this, the Court's convinced by the argument of the Commonwealth that the permissible is 2008 circumstances relevant and particularly in light of the fact that it almost then becomes from 2008 up to 2022 without a whole lot of gap there. So, I understand your argument. We're going to -we're going to reject your request and still permit that.

Now -- now, a couple things happen, though. And as good a time as any to talk about them. this is Commonwealth, as to the -- sexual assault charges --

THE COMMONWEALTH: Uh-huh.

	d
1	THE COURT: that are alleged today
2	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.
3	THE COURT: you understand you're going to
4	withdraw some of those I would guess, correct? Because if
. 5	you look at and I would assume that you had thought
6	about that. But here's my here's my here's my
7	question. In the 404(b) situation how much do are
8	most of those 404(b) people saying they were beaten in the
9	context of a relationship? Are they also saying they were
10	sexually assaulted?
11	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Now, when I say, you know that
13	the only testimony that was considered to be non or at
14	least I would ask this non-consensual as to the
15	testimony has not does not support involuntary deviate.
16	Do you know that?
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: It does.
18	THE COURT: How?
19	THE COMMONWEALTH: The penetration of one part of
20	his body on the anus of her body.
21	THE COURT: With a finger?
22	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
23	THE COURT: No, it doesn't. I don't think. Take a
24	look. Everybody's going like this, and they're shocked.
25	That's not I don't think it's true. Take a look. I

think it does not. 1 THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay. I'll take -- I mean, 2 once I go out and take a break, I'll take a look --3 THE COURT: Yeah. So, at some point, let's do it this way. You're at the close of the Commonwealth's case 5 and we're going to look, okay? 6 THE COMMONWEALTH: I -- I'm sorry, Judge, but I 7 would also -- if -- I mean, we can make --8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 THE COMMONWEALTH: -- that argument later, but I 10 would also argue that during her testimony, she says non-11 consensual is the sodomy. However, there are portions in 12 her testimony where she indicated that during sex -- and I 13 on cross-examination, Attorney specifically 14 remember Jeffries asked her, so when did consensual or okay sex 15 turn into not okay? And she said, well, when he started 16 beating me. And she also testified that she was afraid 17 that if she would say no that it would only anger him 18 19 more. THE COURT: Okay. 20 that is sufficient COMMONWEALTH: So, THE 21 testimony to -- for the jury to consider a lack of consent 22 on her part during this weekend of mixing sexual acts with 23 physical violence. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Let me give it some more 25

1	thought then. That may be true.
2	MR. JEFFRIES: Plus (indiscernible), Your Honor,
3	I would like to add on a layer that when I asked her if
4	she asked him to stop with the fingers, he stopped. She
5	acknowledged that.
6	THE COURT: Well, that goes to consent or not.
7	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's
8	THE COURT: but but I
9	THE COMMONWEALTH: blatant.
10	THE COURT: you know, if for when I'm
11	trying to be politically correct, we try to be apply
12	legal circumstance, in particular elements, what you would
13	tend to find is that elements of finger penetration would
14	be aggravated indecent which is not charged.
15	THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, if it's that
16	that's finger penetration with the any body part. So,
17	yeah, that wasn't
18	THE COURT: Yeah, but if you look
19	THE COMMONWEALTH: well, okay. So my argument
20	for this Court is the idea
21	THE COURT: oddly, involuntary deviate is not
22	
23	THE COMMONWEALTH: covers the oral sex.
24	THE COURT: supported if it's correct,
25	if it's not consensual.

1	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
2	THE COURT: So, that may be that may be
3	that may be reached that threshold to a submit.
4	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
5	THE COURT: Alright. So so what what
6	okay. I will do this. As to your if it was filed as
7	motion in <i>limine</i> rejecting Dr. Crabtree's report or
8	otherwise sort of saying wholesale, I'm just going to deny
9	that request so that it's so that it is done and not
10	just lingering out there.
11	THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay.
12	THE COURT: But then of course when Dr. Crabtree
13	testifies, they'll get reminded of the similar
14	circumstances of what we said for that expert
15	MR. JEFFRIES: (Indiscernible)
16	THE COURT: and if there's objections made,
17	we'll make them as we go, okay?
18	THE COMMONWEALTH: Can can without it
19	completely denying the entirety of the motion,
20	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
21	THE COMMONWEALTH: is Your Honor willing to
22	make a ruling of certain points in his
23	THE COURT: Where he says how does an addict
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: He can I don't have a
25	problem with him testifying generically with addicts. What

my issue is is when he first and foremost directly speaks to Jamie because he read her recantation statement. So, when he specifically talks about her and opines on if her recantation is true, or her recantation -- or her original is true, that's improper.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think it probably is.

THE COMMONWEALTH: And the fact that he just straight calls her a liar --

THE COURT: But I didn't read -- can see that -- can I see his report?

THE COMMONWEALTH: -- because she's a drug addict is also improper.

THE COURT: Okay. So, when he says -- I think somewhere in your motion you cite, how do you know if an addict is lying? When their lips are moving.

THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.

THE COURT: He's says that's in -- within the twelve-step community. He's not saying that specifically. I would have to look specifically, but if in fact it does say, she's lying, then an expert's not allowed to do that, right? That'd be -- that -- that -- that's in the province -- I mean, that becomes -- in the -- in the area of credibility that is left to the jurors. So, try not to direct -- so everybody knows what the standard is. So, try not, mister --

1	MR. JEFFRIES: Right. I understand.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Jeffries, on direct, to lead
3	him that way.
4	MR. JEFFRIES: Right.
5	THE COURT: And we all know that Dr. Crabtree
6	we've I've heard him testify before. So, I'll make sure
7	that he knows what as best we can, we'll make sure that
8	he is directed to wait and if there's a question of her
9	saying something specific if you ask a question that is
10	that would seem to suggest that he's going to
11	specifically say something about the recantation, she'll
12	make an objection. I'll probably sustain the objection.
13	But it goes to the same issue. You can hypothetically say,
14	would an addict be less likely to tell the truth than not,
15	and all those things probably come in, okay?
16	So, at this point, let me give everybody what we
17	might call a comfort break. Have your next witness ready,
18	let's start at five minutes after eleven?
19	MR. JEFFRIES: Judge, I
20	THE COURT: Yeah?
21	MR. JEFFRIES: I I'm sorry, I just have a
22	question on the 404(b) ruling?
23	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
24	MR. JEFFRIES: So, it they're going to be able
25	to testify about things that happened long ago?
*	

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. JEFFRIES: And if he was charged or if he
3	charged with a crime on that? That's going to allowed to
4	be come in?
5	THE COURT: It's true.
6	MR. JEFFRIES: I mean, prior bad acts?
7	THE COURT: Yeah. It's it is true. That is how
8	it works. So, if there is a conviction which, obviously,
9	in you know, if you're saying, look, this shouldn't
10	come in at all, I get that. But let's go the next step and
11	say, yeah, it is permitted for certain circumstances, then
12	a conviction does come in. And also, prior bad acts for
13	which there were never charges filed. And so so, I
14	don't think that the Commonwealth certifies the conviction
15	in the way that we do for crimen falsi, for instance.
16	MR. JEFFRIES: Right.
17	THE COURT: But I think we can say, yes, he was
18	convicted of that. Isn't that how you would proceed,
19	Commonwealth?
20	THE COMMONWEALTH: That is correct. So, I do
21	THE COURT: So, we wouldn't you wouldn't
22	certify the convictions or anything the way we do
23	THE COMMONWEALTH: No, no. I do have I'm -
24	- I'm actually required to have the certified convictions.
25	So,

1	THE COURT: By case law?
2	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
3	THE COURT: Or by not by the rule then?
4	THE COMMONWEALTH: It's it's well, it's the
5	proper way to do it by the rule and case law.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	THE COMMONWEALTH: It doesn't go back with the
8	jury
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	THE COMMONWEALTH: but it has to be admitted
11	and they will find out what the convictions are for.
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	THE COMMONWEALTH: I am allowed to talk about the
14	sentence, but I'm choosing not to discuss
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	THE COMMONWEALTH: the penalty or sentence.
17	THE COURT: You're allowed to the say the what
18	he was convicted of
19	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's correct.
20	THE COURT: or entered a plea to.
21	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's correct.
22	THE COURT: And then you're allowed to ask the
23	person about specific events.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's right.
25	THE COURT: And and I I and

1	truthfully, I will say this also on the record, that I
2	don't know how you've thought it through, but I do know
3	that when you know that that is coming in it it
4	in some way can can support your defense and you
5	know how that would work. And I don't want to I don't
6	want to tip that, but I certainly can understand how that
7	could work.
8	THE COMMONWEALTH: Your Honor,
9	THE COURT: Alright, so yeah?
10	THE COMMONWEALTH: just so the Court's aware -
11	· _
12	THE COURT: Sorry.
13	THE COMMONWEALTH: Can we finish up Dad and then
14	take our lunch break? We just have to go pick up our
. 15	witness. No?
16	THE COURT: No.
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay. Well, he's on his way to
18	pick her up anyway, so it's fine.
19	THE COURT: Pick up from?
20	THE COMMONWEALTH: Just it's just ten minutes
21	down the road.
22	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. No, we probably wouldn't.
23	We're getting pizza.
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay.
	THE COURT: And so the father's thing would go
25	THE COOK!. And so the lather s thing would go

1	fairly quickly, I think?
2	MR. JEFFRIES: (Indiscernible).
3	THE COURT: Not a whole lot left?
4	THE COMMONWEALTH: Okay.
5	THE COURT: And so, at some point, when you bring
6	in your first witness that's going to be who?
7	THE COMMONWEALTH: Well, we're going to pick up
<b>8</b> ,	Felicia. Felicia Baucum.
9	THE COURT: Felicia?
10	THE COMMONWEALTH: Baucum. B-A-U-C-U-M.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And her name was Black?
12	THE COMMONWEALTH: It was.
13	THE COURT: And Brianna Black and Felicia are
14	they related?
15	THE COMMONWEALTH: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: It's like some long-distance
18	relation
19	THE COURT: And was there a conviction in the
20	case with Felicia Black?
21	THE COMMONWEALTH: There is a conviction in
22	Felicia's, yes.
23	THE COURT: And was that in 2008?
24	THE COMMONWEALTH: No. This one is 2016, Your
25	Honor.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And then the next one you would
2	call?
3	THE COMMONWEALTH: Carmen Aberregg and then
4	Brianna Black.
5	THE COURT: And I know that Carmen Aberregg
6	there was no conviction.
7	THE COMMONWEALTH: That's correct. And then there
8	is a conviction in Brianna Black.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And that's the 2008?
10	THE COMMONWEALTH: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And so, we will say that
12	that your offer of proof would remain as it is because
13	that's the basis of where our admission would be as
14	outlined in your notice of the Commonwealth's intention to
15	introduce which was filed in approximately November '22,
16	correct?
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: Correct.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So, let's go let me make
19	sure everybody can get to the restroom. Mr. Nakonechni, do
20	you have to use the restroom?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: You do? Let's make it ten minutes
23	after at this point, okay? We'll reconvene at ten minutes
24	after. Then we'll break for lunch and then we'll
25	THE COMMONWEALTH: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: we'll keep going. And you still
2	have Dr. Crabtree coming around three?
3	MR. JEFFRIES: You you told me three.
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
5	MR. JEFFRIES: I can see if he can come earlier
6	if you want?
7	THE COURT: No, no. That's fine. I don't have any
8	sense of how long these 404(b) witnesses. I could see that
9	they could go quickly or not. On your direct, do you
10	expect ten or fifteen minutes as to each or do you have
11	any do you have an idea of the questions?
12	THE COMMONWEALTH: I mean, I have an idea of the
13	questions, but I wouldn't expect like ten fifteen I
14	would expect longer a little bit longer than that.
15	THE COURT: Okay, yeah. That's fine. We'll see
16	everybody at what did I say finally? Ten after?
17	THE COMMONWEALTH: Ten
18	THE COURT: Ten after, okay. Thank you.
19	(The Court takes a recess at 10:59:22 and
20	resumes at 11:19:08)
21	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen.
22	We're still on cross on Mr. Teagarden, correct?
23	Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. Okay. Yeah,
24	that's right. Come on back. Break was a little longer than
25	we than I had wanted, but we got some things resolved
	d .

1	that might eventually streamline things, and so we
2	appreciate your time and patience.
3	So, we're Mr. Teagarden's returned, he's
4	still under oath, your next question, please?
5	MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you, Judge. Just for just
6	so for edification of everyone, I'm moving on from the
7	last question that caused the break.
8	BY: MR. JEFFRIES
9	Q Now, Mr. Teagarden, you indicated in your
10	direct testimony that a phone was used to call you?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Jamie called you and you presumed that was from
13	Sheetz?
14	A No, I had no idea.
15	Q Okay.
16	A I was on my way to the state police barracks
17	just to see if I could get help, figure this situation
18	out. My daughter was being chased. I had no idea where she
19	was at. 9-1-1 couldn't you know, they hadn't
20	Q Okay.
21	A (indiscernible) what to do and I was just in
22	my vehicle, drove out the driveway, and my cellphone rang.
23	Q So, how did you get the impression that your
24	daughter was being chased I think you said, running
25	through the weeds being chased?

1	A Well, you could hear on on my phone he was
2	yelling, Jamie, come back. And then then you heard
3	you heard the weeds and the footsteps and it just like
4	a made for movie T.V. movie (indiscernible).
5	Q Okay. Were you aware of the video that was shown
6	yesterday showing that nobody was actually being chased?
7	A No. No, I wasn't aware of it.
8	Q Okay.
9	A No, I was not aware of that.
10	Q Were you made aware of a video I think it was
11	another clip that showed Ian and Jamie, with Jamie being
12	on the phone, I presume that's the phone call you're
13	you're referencing?
14	A No. The phone call that I received that she said
15	she was at Sheetz, she went in and there was a group of
16	she told me this, now
17	Q I understand.
18	A so I don't know if it's hearsay or whatever.
19	Q I understand. It's okay.
20	A That she slid in there were a group of girls
21	there and a group of teenage boys there, and she slid in
22	and was sitting in the seat and had asked the girls if she
23	could use their phone. They said, no. She turned to the
24	boys and the boys said
25	Q Right.

-	
1	A here's the phone.
2	Q Right.
3	A So, that's the phone call I got
4	Q Right. And that's
5	A to come and get her.
6	Q where okay. We understand that. But I'm
7	just asking specifically about when you indicate that you
8	knew that she was running through the weeds and being
9	chased, and you heard things?
10	A Yes.
11	Q So, despite telling you about a video showing
12	the exact opposite, you're you're that's still your
13	I mean, is there any room for a mistake?
14	A What do you mean the exact opposite?
15	Q Well, the video shows no chasing.
16	A Which video?
17	Q The video of Sheetz. The Commonwealth produced a
18	video of Sheetz yesterday that showed Ian and Jamie
19	A Talking on the phone?
20	Q on the phone in like I would say a vestibule
21	
22	A Okay.
23	Q like when you go in the front door there's
24	like that those
25	A Okay.
	II